REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Via Salvador Minor Subdivision

3200 21086 (TPM)/3910 007-09-008 (ER)

March 18, 2010

			_ Does the proposed project colordinance findings?	nform to the	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMP	т	
While the proposed project and offsite improvements are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program, the project site and locations of any offsite improvements do not contain habitats subject to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.					
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠		
The proposed project and any offsite improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.					
<u>III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE</u> - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMP	Т	
which obtains w	ater from surf	ace reservoii	m the Valley Center Municipal Wars and/or imported sources. The including irrigation or domestic se	project will	

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Wetland and Wetland Buffers: The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

Steep Slopes: Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats: Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined by the Biological Resources Report (Cummings and Associates, October 12, 2009). Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: The County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail Wright, has inspected the property, analyzed records, and

determined there are no archaeological or historical sites. In addition, the project must comply with the San Diego County Grading, Clearing, and Watercourse Ordinance (§87.101-87.804), CEQA §15064.5(d), and §7050.5 of the Health & Safety Code. Section 87.429 of the Grading, Clearance, and Watercourse Ordinance requires the suspension of grading operations when human remains or Native American artifacts are encountered.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE

The project Storm Water Management Plan received on November 5, 2009 for this project has been reviewed and is found to be complete and in compliance with the WPO.

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

The project is a two lot residential subdivision. The closest primary noise source to potentially impact the project site would be from future traffic on Mac Tan Road which is classified as a Light Collector road in the County Circulation Element Road. Preliminary review of in-house GIS noise layers show that the proposed subdivision would be well distanced from future traffic from this roadway and would experience noise levels below the 60 dBA CNEL requirement. Interior noise levels would be below the 45 dBA requirement. No noise mitigation is necessary and the project subdivision demonstrates compliance with the County Noise Element, policy 4b. The project subdivision is also subject to the County Noise Ordinance, Section 36.409 which governs the allowable average sound level limits for the operation of construction equipment. The temporary noise source to be generated by the project would be from grading operations preparing the site. Based on the preliminary grading plans, the project proposes a cut and fill of 900 cubic yards. The site is relatively flat with no proposed use of impulsive type of equipment. The grading operations would be temporary and as indicated by MLB Engineering, preparation of the site would take no longer than two months. Therefore, the project would comply with County noise standards.